Row No.	Asset	Summarised Comments	Who	SDC comments
1	Asset No. 10773 Lamp posts along Parkfield	<ul> <li>Seven old lamp posts and the original ones installed when the Wildernesse estate was built.</li> <li>Kept in good condition by Trustees of Parkfield.</li> <li>They are in keeping with the predominantly arts and craft character of the road and the Estate and should be conserved.</li> </ul>	David Moscow Trustee of Parkfield Road	Support noted. Raised in conjunction with Asset No. 10773 at Selection Panel. Selection criteria 14 replaced by 16 to reflect the other lamp posts in Wildernesse (Asset No.10764 and 10775). See Selection Panel minutes.
2	Asset No. 10271 Cobden School	<ul> <li>Former Cobden School unique to Sevenoaks as only Board school and represents an historic Victorian landmark, locally and nationally</li> <li>Built in 1877 and designed by well-known architect E. Evans Cronk</li> <li>Sympatheticalluy converted into residential</li> <li>Significant example of Victorian Board School and hub of Hartsland Conservation Area</li> </ul>	Pauline Lewis	Support noted.
3		Support second tranche of the Local List and Article     Directions	Charles George, Chair of Sevenoaks Conservation Council	Support noted.
4	Asset No.10746 Cranmore	<ul> <li>Cranmore was built in 1924 according to our records.</li> <li>One of the first houses on the Wildernesse Estate.</li> <li>Originally a five acre plot with tennis courts and an orchard. In 60s plot divided and the original drive now serves three other dwellings.</li> </ul>		Comments noted.

5	Asset No.10678 29 Vine Court Road	<ul> <li>Owners of 29 Vine Court Rd</li> <li>Administration error as Birch House is 31 Vine Court Rd</li> </ul>	Brigitte and Richard Perry	29 Vine Court Road and 31 Vine Court Road are both individually proposed for Local List. Entry amended to remove name Birch House from 31 Vine Court Road
6	Asset No. 10775 Lamp posts along Wildernesse Avenue	Trustees don't believe lanterns date back to 1924 and should not be included	Floor van Rossen- Geerdink, Trustee of Wildernesse Avenue, Wildernesse Residents Association	Asset sent back to Selection Panel where it was concluded Selection Criteria 16 and 19 were still appropriate. See Selection Panel minutes.
7	Asset No.10767 Maple House	<ul> <li>Nomination inappropriate as substantial additions and alteration since original construction so only small portion reflect original design of Baillie Scott</li> <li>Two storey west wing addition and the addition of lounge/bedroom/ensuite above east wing collectively account for between a third and a half of the square footage of the house. Inappropriate to classify the house as being of interest for its design by a particular architect when much of the house was not designed by that architect.</li> <li>07/03600/FUL granted in 2007 approved considerable further alterations to the house. Begun by previous owners but not completed</li> <li>Criteria 7 seems inappropriate in these circumstances</li> </ul>	Jonathan Fittall	Objection noted. Asset returned to Selection Panel where it was agreed Selection Criteria 7 still appropriate. See Selection Panel minutes.

8	Asset No. 10783 Wall at Quarry Shaw	Would have appreciated to have been asked permission for the imputing of the wall as on a private drive	Mrs S.J Wright	Photograph removed as taken from private realm. Replacement photo taken from public realm.
9	Asset No. 10738 Railings along Holmesdale Road	<ul> <li>Railings are beautiful and add to quality of road</li> <li>Object to protection of railings as may feel compelled to remove due to parking situation</li> </ul>	David Hampton	Objection noted. Comments relating parking so passed onto Parking Manager
10	Asset 10702 Railings at 17 Serpentine Road	<ul> <li>Excellent proposal and fully support it.</li> <li>Think railings older than 1896 more than mid 1880s</li> <li>If Nos 11-13 are included why not Nos.17,19,15</li> <li>Why isn't part of conservation area?</li> </ul>	Professor Roger Lee	Support noted. Asset sent back to Selection Panel to discuss the inclusion of No.11-13 but not others. No.11-13 least altered and retained decorative pierce bargeboards. See Selection Panel Minutes. Additional comments received in response to follow up letter. Sevenoaks Society has recommended extending Conservation Area and will be considered when the Sevenoaks town Conservation Areas are next reviewed.

# $Appendix \ A \textbf{ - Public Consultation responses matrix}$

11	Asset 10315 27 Sandy Lane	<ul> <li>Numbers 23, 25 &amp; 27 are all three story buildings and not two. The front doors are on the middle floor.</li> </ul>	Mr Jason King	Comments noted.
12	Asset No. 10836 Sevenoaks Artisans Dwelling, 158 Cramptons Road	<ul> <li>In favour of plaque's inclusion</li> <li>Cottages were built for the workers of the water works, for the sum of £94 each, built with bricks made at the brick works which was sited on the old Otford Road rubbish site.</li> </ul>	Mr Brian Cosgrove	Support noted.
13	Asset No.10823 62 Greatness Lane	<ul> <li>Bizarre reason to call driveways ugly as from a practical sense a driveway is necessary. Lack of parking control on road and ignorance of double yellow lines contribute to the need for the 'ugly car bays'.</li> <li>Taking cars off the road adds to practicalities of unblocking pinch points of traffic and benefit to residents of off road parking</li> <li>Wall insignificant and doesn't add to the character or history of the area</li> <li>No added value to residents</li> </ul>	Mr L Hurrell	Asset sent back to Selection Panel where selection criteria 16 and 19 still appropriate. Reference to 'ugly' car bays removed. Following amendment to text for clarification: The wall at No.58 is topped by a row of decorative perforated concrete blocks. See Selection Panel minutes.
14	Asset No. 10738 Railings along Holmesdale Road	<ul> <li>Object to inclusion</li> <li>Issue of commuter parking on unrestricted road leading to the removal railings and walls to create off street parking</li> </ul>	Roger Peters	Objection noted. Comments relating to parking so passed onto Parking Manager
15	Asset No.10786 Donyland	<ul> <li>Don't consider nomination appropriate</li> <li>Several extensions to the eastern, western and southern sides of the property</li> <li>Significant change to the appearance of the house</li> </ul>	Brendan and Janet Tynan	Asset sent back to Selection Panel where agreed selection criteria 7

		<ul> <li>from its original design</li> <li>Inclusion of Donyland detrimental to Baillie Scott as an architect.</li> <li>Baillie Scott's arts &amp; craft vision and design eroded by modernisation and unsympathetic alterations</li> <li>Donyland only meets 1 Selection Criteria of 19 in the SPD</li> <li>Inappropriate to be on Local List as house little resembles internally and externally the original design intention</li> </ul>		still appropriate. See Selection Panel minutes.
16	Asset No. 10271 Cobden School	<ul> <li>Wonderful example of a school built after the 1870 Education Act</li> <li>All the detailing and quality is visible after a carful re development</li> <li>Holds an important local heritage history</li> <li>Designed by one of the most important Architects, Edwyn Evans Cronk, to work in Sevenoaks.</li> </ul>	Paul McPartland	Support noted.
18	Asset No. 10271 Cobden School	<ul> <li>Important building in the Hartsland Conservation area, essential that this area is preserved and looked after for the sake of the beautiful area of Sevenoaks and for those that live there</li> <li>State of disrepair until restored in 2013</li> <li>Restoration retained all its original features such as the Board School Sign stating it was built in 1877, the restored turrets and brickwork</li> <li>Without doubt should be included on the Local List as a building of significant Victorian architecture.</li> </ul>	Mrs Gemma Hargreaves	Support noted.
18	Asset No.10752 Blackhall Spinney	<ul> <li>Houses selected by Sevenoaks District ("SDC") bears little similarity to either the WRA's list or Pevsner's list.</li> <li>Process should be as objective as possible and fair to all.</li> <li>Explain how the properties put forward by SDC have been selected and why your list should be so</li> </ul>	Michael Potter	Asset was sent back to Selection Panel where selection criteria were considered still appropriate. See Selection Panel

		different from the WRA and Pevsner?    Additional comments:  Some of the remaining information and map for Blackhall Spinney are inaccurate  The proposed Sevenoaks Local List bears little similarity either to WRA's or Pevsner's lists and in particular certain Baillie Scott houses on Parkfield and Woodland Rise are notably absent, implying that the selection criteria are radically different from those of WRA and Pevsner.  Canvas public opinion at a much earlier stage  Council is using inaccurate information and an arbitrary choice of properties.		minutes. No further information relating to the inaccuracies were given by the owner. Sevenoaks Society provided additional information regarding the difference between buildings stated in Pevsner's, Wildernesse Resident Association's (WRA) and the Local List which informed a response to owner. Public opinion was canvas during the Public Consultation. Reference to lane removed from Asset description and photograph replaced with one taken from private realm.
19	Asset No. 10822 Sevenoaks Quarry Oast	<ul> <li>Tarmac has participated in positive discussions with the District Council and Town Council to explore the future development potential of the site and the Town Council's 'Northern Sevenoaks Masterplan' identifies the opportunity for a new mixed-use neighbourhood to the town (a sustainable urban extension to Sevenoaks).</li> </ul>	Darren Bell David Lock Associates on behalf of Tarmac	Received after Public Consultation ended but still considered. Comments noted.

<ul> <li>Development could deliver substantial economic, environmental and social benefits for the area former oast house is located within the current processing and manufacturing operations associated with the quarry</li> <li>Whilst Tarmac does not object to the proposed designation on the local list and the Article 4 direction, it is requested SDC take full account of the current permitted use, including any reasonable revisions to the current planning permissions, and the following points with regards the wider opportunity to allocate the site for development and the future of the proposed heritage asset.</li> <li>Tarmac's development aspirations would mean the former oast house would sit within a proposed residential area and the objective is to refurbish and reuse the building to be a key focus point, adding to the sense of place and creating a link</li> </ul>
with the history of the site.